## BEST AVAILABLE COPY



## UNITED STATES RTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trad mark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/326,02	06/04/	99 PORTER	J	CELL-0072
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		EXAMINER
		HM12/0424 '		•
FRANCIS A PAINTIN ESQ			ROBINSON, B	
		URTZ MACKIEWICZ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<pre>% NORRIS ONE LIBER PHILADELP</pre>		46 TH FLOOR 03	162	- '
		•	III/III	04/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/326,020 Applica

Porter Et. Al.

Examiner

Binta Robinson

Group Art Unit 1625



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay(035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	cution as to the merits is clos d
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3mont longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtaine 37 CFR 1.136(a).	for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims are subje	ct to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a)   AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents ha   X received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	d _disapproved.  (d).  ve been  T Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09326020

Art Unit: 1612

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The species in claim 12, page 41.

Applicant is required under 35 U. S. C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the compound of formula I is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit not the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U. S. C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09326020 Page 3

Art Unit: 1612

2. During a telephone conservation with David Cherry on April 13, 2000, a provisional election was made with traverse of a single disclosed species of example 11, page 28 to prosecute on the merits. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 4. Claims 1-11 and 13-14 are rejected on the grounds that the claims are drawn to an improper Markush group. In re Harnish, 206 USPQ 300, states that a unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. In the instant case, the claimed subject matter does not share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility.

The requirement for a proper Markush claim is that it includes only substances that in their physical, chemical and physiological characteristics are functionally equivalent. The members of the instant Markush groups possess widely different, physical and chemical properties. The compounds are not considered functionally equivalent and are so diverse that they demonstrate dissimilar and unrelated properties. The mere fact that there is structural

Application/Control Number: 09326020 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

similarity in optical agents is not in itself reason to render all the embodiments functionally equivalent.

The improper Markush groups are R1, Alk1, r, L1, s, Alk2, R, R2, X1, and R4.

The examined subject matter is as follows: The compound of formula Ia in claim 1, page 40 of application, where R<sup>1</sup> is pyridyl or non heterocyclic rings, r is 0, s is 1, m is 1, R4 is anything, R2 is anything, R3 is anything, and R is C0<sub>2</sub>H. Amending the claims to the elected subject matter would overcome the improper Markush rejection.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 are rejected under Bolin et. al (Reference U).

Bolin teaches the instant compound, compound 18. At page 281, Table 1, see compound 18.

- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-11, and 13 are rejected under Cordell et. al (Reference N).

  Cordell teaches the instant compound, RN 168633-23-6. At pages 1-132, see compound 168633-23-6.
- 7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under Okamoto et. Al. (Reference O).

  Okamoto teaches the instant compound, RN 123443-57-2P. At pages 649-670, see compound, RN 123443-57-2P.
- 8. Claim 12 appears to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09326020

Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

9. The elected species of example 11 on page 28 of the specification is allowable.

10. The Information Disclosure Statements (IDS) filed 7/19/1999, 11/22/99, 2/10/2000 and

2/15/2000 have been considered. Those references crossed out on the IDS have not been

considered because they were not supplied along with the IDS. The crossed out references can

only be considered when they are supplied with the IDS.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the should

be directed to Binta Robinson whose telephone number is (703)306-5437.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. John Kight, can be reached on (703)308-0204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

**BMR** 

April 21, 2000

SIBEDNISOLA «IX 10aN kivill

المار المارية المارية